

The Environmental Implication of Biochar

Ms. Jyotika Bishnoi

Mahatma Jyoti Rao Phoole University, Jaipur – Rajasthan

Submitted: 01-03-2022	Revised: 07-03-2022	Accepted: 10-03-2022

ABSTRACT: Biochar is a type of charcoal made from biomass that has been superheated. As a result of vegetation fires, it occurs naturally in soils all over the world. For almost 2,500 years, humanmade and utilized biochar in conventional farming practices in the Amazon Basin of South America. Terra preta, or black earth, was a dark, charcoalrich soil that allowed productive agriculture in regions that had traditionally had poor, and in some cases, hazardous soils. Biomass is a viable renewable energy source that can be converted by mechanical. biological, physical, and thermochemical processes. Thermal decomposition breaks chemical bonds in organic matter and turns it into biochar, bio-oil, and syngas, resulting in highly consistent product yield efficiency. Because its economic benefits, environmental of advantages, and ever-increasing desire in the environmental and energy industries, biomass has recently been transformed into biochar.

Keywords: global warming , bichar, biomass , efficiency, renewable energy.

I. INTRODUCTION

There has been rapid climate change in the past few decades due to a rampant increase in carbon dioxide emissions. If one looks at the data one would realize on a generic level, ever since the year 2000, the CO2 emission has increased by 3 percent or more on an annual level. The direct impact of climate change can be seen by the increase in global temperature which has been a topic of concern over the past few years. Of all the greenhouse gases Co2 contributes some 25 percent and its present level in the environment has already passed the safe limit. All of this has increased the loss of biodiversity and the productivity of land has gone down. The rate at which the demand for food and energy is increasing the international energy agency has predicted that the demand for energy would be twice what it is by the time we reach the year 2035. In today's time, our major reliance is on petrol and natural gases, and other non-renewable sources of energy which are major contributors togreenhouse gases. For this purpose, one must go

for a cleaner source of energy. Biomass can be converted into different forms of energy using thermochemical and biochemical technology. The common methods used to convert biomass energy are direct combustion, pyrolysis, gasification, and liquefaction. The most commonly used method is combustion. In this process, the biomass is burnt to give heat and wood ash as a by-product of it. The release of heat is a classic example of oxidation. (1)

Biochar is a type of charcoal made from biomass that has been superheated. As a result of vegetation fires, it occurs naturally in soils all over the world. For almost 2,500 years, human-made and utilized biochar in conventional farming practices in the Amazon Basin of South America. Terra preta, or black earth, was a dark, charcoalrich soil that allowed productive agriculture in regions that had traditionally had poor, and in some cases, hazardous soils. (2)

Biochar is produced from the components of biomass materials namely wood, manure, and leaves. It is obtained from the process known as pyrolysis. It is a stable, carbon-rich substance. When produced as a co-product it can be used for improving soil quality and can give solutions for environmental problems like climate change. (3) Also, it can have advantages ranging from social to environmental benefits ranging from being a cost incentive alternative.

Jungle soils are often barren. The ground was able to retain important organic material, soil nutrients, and water required for plant growth when biochar was mixed into the topsoil. Instead of slash-and-burn tactics that were required to squeeze outcrops on fresh ground every few seasons, this practice permitted yearly irrigation of the same fields. Constant food production was possible given a stable site for agriculture and fertile soils made productive by fire. (4)

Its use as a soil amendment boosts the soil quality and promotes plant growth, resulting in higher crop yields. There are various factors that impact the effectiveness of the rate of the plant ground these are biochar resource, soil type, and production technique. (5) Agricultural wastes,



animal manures, and paper products are commonly used as feedstock for biochar production. The significance of these wastes in the creation of biochar is that it is a cost-effective approach to convert the trash into a usable and valuable commodity (Brewer et al., 2014). Biochar can be made on a small scale with a cooking burner or on a large one using a pyrolysis system. Pyrolysis is a thermochemical process that converts biomass into biochar, bio-oil, and syngas at temperatures between 350 and 700 degrees Celsius in the absence of oxygen (Varma et al., 2018).(6) Pyrolysis can be classified into two types: slow pyrolysis and fast pyrolysis, and they differ in terms of residence time and heating rate. Fast pyrolysis creates more oils and fluids, while slow pyrolysis produces more syngas. Similarly, slow pyrolysis (36 percent) produces more charcoal than quick pyrolysis (17 percent) or gasification (12 percent) (Uchimiya et al., 2011). Slow pyrolysis, also known as traditional carbonization, produces biochar by applying heat to biomass at a low temperature for a lengthy period of time (days) (Cao et al., 2009). Biochar, on the other hand, is created at a higher temperature with a very short residence time for quick pyrolysis (7)

The process of making biochar begins with biomass drying, after which the particle is further heated to allow volatile elements to escape from the solid (Rhodes et al., 2008). Carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, methane, and hydrogen are examples of volatile organic compounds, while acetic acids and methanol are examples of condensable organic compounds. In the gas phase, cracking and polymerization events change the entire product range (Cetin et al., 2005).

For agricultural purposes, biochar works well as a soil conditioner. Organic carbon degrades on a regular basis as a result of agricultural activities (Xia et al., 2017). The presence of soil organic carbon is critical for agricultural yields, as is the storage of minerals and water, especially potassium, phosphorous, and nitrogen, which provides a home for soil bacteria that improve soil structure. (8)

Biochar can be used as an adsorbent to remove hazardous chemicals from contaminated soils. Biochar has a high organic carbon content, which can reach 90 percent based on the material supply, and this material has improved sequestering carbon eligible for the government (Ippolito et al., 2017).(9)

BIOCHAR: PROPERTIES

The properties of biochar are influenced by pyrolysis settings and feedstock; other factors

include the rate at which heat is transmitted, temperatures, and residence time (RT) (Sun et al., 2012). According to Sohi et al. (2017), different feedstocks result in varied magnitudes of surface area, pores, and functional groups in biochar's, and all of these variables affect biochar sorption characteristics. (10) The most frequent biochar feedstocks are rice husk, wood bark, sugar beet tailing, empty fruit bunches, dairy manure, pinewood, woodchips, organic wastes, plant residues, human feces, and chicken manure. The most frequent biochar feedstocks are rice husk, wood bark, sugar beet tailing, empty fruit bunches, dairy manure, pinewood, woodchips, organic wastes, plant residues, human feces, and chicken manure.(11)

The degree and purity of biochar manufacturing procedures and feedstock can have an impact on heavy metals. Biochar may contain heavy metals (HMs) such copper, zinc, nickel, lead, chromium, and manganese, as well as organic like perfluorooctanesulphonic acid pollutants (PFOS), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), phenol, dioxins, and furans (PCDD/F) and organic acids (Al-Wabel et al., 2013). (12) Furthermore, the specific pore surface area (SBET) of biochar created from animal waste is less than that of biochar made from plants at the same pyrolysis setting and RT due to ash and heavy inorganic components in most biochar formed from animal dung. (Singh et al., 2012b; Ok et al., 2015).(13)

The amount of carbon, nitrogen, potassium, calcium and other elements in biochar are determined by the feedstock utilized as well as the pyrolysis time and temperature. Biochar generated exclusively from wood (which frequently has higher carbon content) has a higher potassium concentration than biochar made entirely from feedstocks with higher potassium contents (such as animal litters) (Gurwick et al., 2013).(14)

However, because pyrolysis conditions have a significant impact on nutritional content, biochar should be examined batch by batch to determine specific qualities. Perfluorochemicals and their constituents in biochar can be controlled by the quality of the feedstock in cases of heavy metals followed by some impurities, while the compositions of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons could be governed by the ways of generating biochar (Hale et al., 2012). (15)

CHARACTERISATION FOR POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL USE

When applied to soil, biochar helps to reduce nutrient leaching. Several researchers



explained that the addition of biochar reduces N2O emissions by about 83 percent, which also applies to gaseous N losses benefits, such as soil conditioner and organic fertilizer, thereby growing carbon sequestration, soil quality, microbial population, pH value, plant nutrient recycling, water holding capacity, soil pollution, and so on (Nguyen et al., 2014; Sedlak, 2018).(16) Physical qualities of enriched soils, such as water-holding capacity, bulk density drop, and porosity increase. however, are improved. The different biochar compositions imply that its surface can have hydrophobic, basic, hydrophilic, acidic, and other properties, which cause the biochar to adsorb dissolved substances from the soil solution, affecting nutrient retention. (17)

There are different types of biochar. Although nutrient concentrations were not high enough to suggest direct use as a soil fertilizer, straw-based biochars had higher soluble elements concentrations than two woody-based biochars.

Expanding the pyrolysis temperature improved the surface area of biochar, which might also benefit sandy soils by increasing sorption sites or improving nonpolar contaminant retention in soils (Kloss et al., 2012). Researchhas also shownthat increasing pyrolysis temperatures impacted the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) concentration of biochar; PAHs are a type of aromatic hydrocarbon that forms during incomplete burning and is relatively resistant and potentially toxic. According to Kloss et al. (2012), the PAH content of straw-based biochar grew as the pyrolysis temperature was increased, whereas the PAH content of wood-based biochar decreased. (18)

To deduce a least analytical set of data for evaluating the potential use of biochar as soil remediation and for carbon sequestration, Schimmelpfennig and Glaser (2012) used 16 different feedstock components to construct 66 biochars produced from 5 distinct pyrolytic processes (traditional charcoal stack, rotary kiln, Pyrex reactor, wood gasifier, and hydrothermal carbonization). (19) The researcher shows that biocharsinincludinghe required ingredients will be effective C sequestration binders when added to soil, based on their findings: Black carbon content > 15% C, O:C:H ratio 0.4, H:C ratio 0.6 (O:C:H ratios act as an example for the amount of carbonization that determines the permanence of biochar in soil environments); O:C:H ratio 0.4. They also provide recommendations for additional standards.

In four quick (two-week) toxic effects assessment tests (cress germination, barley growing

conditions and new growth, lettuce sprouting, and earthworm avoidant coping tests), the researchers used one biochar and one hydrochar, a material produced by hydrothermal carbonization of feedstock in an aqueous dispersion under moderate pressures and higher temperature (Funke and Ziegler, 2010). (20) The biochar exhibited no detrimental effects, whereas the hydrochar produced a hostile reaction in all four tests. Although further biochars will need to be verified, the findings are encouraging because they were based on rapid, simple, and very inexpensive techniques that may be utilized by manufacturers and other end users everywhere. (21)

REMEDIATION OF POLLUTED SOIL

Metalloids cannot be completely removed from the soil, although they can be converted from one form to another, particularly from high to low concentrations (Wu et al., 2015). Certain aspects should be addressed while repairing metalloids, such as solubilizing metalloids in woody plants and bioenergy crops in contaminated farms, metalloids elimination by reaping the metalloid's accumulated biomass, and metalloids translation into less harmful products. (22) Negative charges, a large interior surface area, and tolerance to deterioration are all characteristics that make biochar suitable for the remediation of polluted soils (Budzianowskiab, 2017). Biochar surface area typically increases as organic material is pyrolyzed at extreme temperatures (Chen et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2018).(23)

It has to do with the route, which is the mechanism through which contaminants go from the source to the receptor. The pollutant has been deemed a contaminant and the soil is assessed as contaminated soil whenever it passes from source to receiver along the pathway in sufficient dosage to cause damage (Sun et al., 2012). (24) The most common (albeit expensive), (cost-effective and time-consuming) method for removing contaminated soil is to remove the source or receptors (Laird et al., 2010). When there is a lot of soil pollution or the pollutant site is in use, these solutions can be impracticable and expensive. (25)

Pollutants may travel from sources to receptors, but many of these pathways result in the pollutant being released into the soil solution (Luo et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2014). By adsorbing contaminants on its surface and lowering pollutant concentrations in the soil solution, biochar disrupts source pathway-receptor connections (El-Naggar et al., 2018).(26) Restoration is possible if biochar eliminates contaminants from the soil solution permanently, effectively blocking the path to



receptors. Toxins can no longer cause damage after sorption on the surface of biochar.

Biochar made from various feedstocks has a high capacity for absorbing pesticides and other organic contaminants. Its sorption capacity may be 9 to 99 times more than that of natural soil organic matter. Several studies have found that biocharamended soils have a significant reduction in organic pollutants (Chen et al., 2008). (27) Ground adsorption and partition are the most common processes proposed. Since division happens in the uncarbonized portion and surface adsorption occurs carbonized fraction, organic contaminants sorb to biochar frequently as a result of partitioning in lowtemperature biochar and surface absorption in hightemperature biochar. (28)

Biochar's potentially positive influence on pesticide remediation must be balanced against its impact on pesticide efficacy, which is dependent on the pollutant cleanup goals and the molecule in question. By decreasing zeta potential and improving cation exchange capacity, adding charcoal to soil could result in an increase in negative charges on the soil surface (Awad et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2014).(29) The electrostatic pull that occurs between positively charged toxic substances and soil is aided by this. However, because various functional groups, such as COO and OH on the charcoal surface, biochar forms compounds with heavy metals at this point, lowering their bioavailability

BIOCHAR AS MEAN OF GAS REMEDIATION

Biochar is extremely effective in removing harmful substances from gas. Biochar made from camphor, rice hulls, bamboo, sludge, hardwood chips, and pig manure successfully removes H2S from methane, with an adsorption capacity of 110 to 370 mg H2S/g biochar and clearance efficiency of over 96%. (Joseph and Lehmann) (2015). Biochar moisture (>85 percent v/w), pH (>8.0), preexisting surface area, and chemical interaction with interface radical groups, such as OH and COOH, all aided H2S adsorption. In the presence of air or oxygen, H2S interacts with the surface of alkali biochars via ionic interactions with single bond OH and single bond COOH chemical bonding, resulting in the creation of (K, Na)2SO4, which may be accessible to plants as SO42 (Lehmann and Joseph, 2015).(30)

Trichloroethylene abstraction was evaluated in biochar made from peanut shells (PBC) and soy straw (SBC) at pyrolyzed heats between 350 and 750 °C. When compared to commercial activated char, biochar of (PBC) and (SBC) obtained at a high pyrolyzed temperature (SBC700 and P-BC700) were much more efficient for trichloroethylene removal than those formed at a low pyrolyzed temperature (SBC300 and PBC300). Incomparison to PBC300 and SBC300, the effectiveness of the elimination of biochar (PBC700 and SBC700) was attributed to improving the hydrophobicity (ten percent O removal) and surface area (12–410 m2/g), as well as reducing the polarity of biochar. (31)

BIOCHAR AND GREEN HOUSE GASES

Increases in greenhouse gases (GHG) and global warming are the primary causes of climate change, while carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions account for more than 77 percent of the total. Carbon (IV) oxide emissions from soil respiration are about ten times higher than those from fossil fuel combustion (Nguyen et al., 2010). In order to mitigate climate change, it is also necessary to reduce carbon dioxide pollutants in agricultural soil. Biochar is primarily utilised to improve soil carbon sequestration while also lowering nitrous oxide (N2O) and carbon monoxide (CH4) emissions (Leng and Huang, 2018). (32) According to current research, biochar has the potential to reduce GHG emissions, such as nitrous oxide and methane, from the soil, which do have significant implications on climate change.

These findings reveal that different forms of biochar have different effects on GHG emissions from soils. The amount of water in the soil, the type of biochar feedstocks used, and the temperature at which biochar is pyrolyzed all have an impact on biochar's ability to reduce greenhouse gas emissions (Purakayastha et al., 2016; Major et al., 2010). (33) Several studies have been conducted on the impact of biochar on soils and carbon dioxide emissions; however, the results are inconclusive due to variances in research materials and methods. There are several methods that can be taken to reduce GHG emissions by using biochar, which is multidimensional and is becoming apparent with time.

The alkalinity of biochar, on the other hand, increases the activity of nitrous oxidereducing organisms. Similarly, Wild and Jones (2009) stated that when soil potential hydrogen increases, the disadvantages of biochar will undoubtedly reduce soil acidity and emissions. According to research, biochar has a wide surface area that provides excellent adsorption sites for nitrogen oxide and nitrogen, limiting the discharge of these pollutants from the soil organic system (O'Toole et al., 2013).(33)



International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management (IJAEM) Volume 4, Issue 3 Mar 2022, pp: 89-95 www.ijaem.net ISSN: 2395-5252

ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE

The cost of transportation is a significant component in the economics of biochar manufacturing. Palma et al. (2011) illustrates how the cost of generating biochar in one location and transporting it to another affects the cost. They investigated the economic feasibility of mobile pyrolysis plants by examining two forms of biochar in three states that travel at varying speeds. They determined that the net present value of biochar grows as the number of times the portable pyrolysis facility is moved decreases using a Monte Carlo financial simulation model with transportation logistics included in their research based on the geographic information systems (GIS) data. (34)

Because of its promising energy and environmental potential, biochar production is gaining traction. The financial assumption findings for biochar production in Selangor were 532.00 US\$/year, while the overall revenue from biochar sales was 8012 US\$/year, according to Harsono et al. (2013). (35) As a consequence, the net value for the activated carbon production, which was estimated by the amount of funding and net revenue, indicated that biochar was economically viable. According to Shabangu et al. (2014), the cost-effectiveness of activated carbon production was determined by its sales price, with a breakeven of around \$220/t for pyrolysis at 300 °C and around \$280/t for pyrolysis at 450 °C. Yard garbage was shown to be promising, according to Roberts et al. (2009). (36)

Biomass is a viable renewable energy source that can be converted by mechanical, biological, physical, and thermochemical processes. Thermal decomposition breaks chemical bonds in organic matter and turns it into biochar, bio-oil, and syngas, resulting in highly consistent product yield efficiency. Because of its economic benefits, environmental advantages, and everincreasing desire in the environmental and energy industries, biomass has recently been transformed into biochar. (37)

Biochar made from forest biomass and its usage as an agricultural soil supplement has the potential to have an economic impact, particularly in the agricultural and forest sectors. It also has a ripple effect on affiliated companies, such as those that offer biochar machinery and carbon adsorb. Carbon dioxide sequestration payments, according to some experts, could be critical to biochar profitability. Biochar can be encouraged by enacting a CO2 sequestration payment program. In the meanwhile, farmers may find it profitable to employ biochar for the production of high-yielding cash crops. (38)

II. CONCLUSION

Biochar is made from a variety of raw materials called biomass that is pyrolyzed under various conditions to produce highly heterogeneous physical and chemical properties that can enhance the efficacy of polluted soil removal (remediate toxified soil), increase photosynthetic activity, improve carbon sequestration, reduce GHG emissions, control land degradation, and decrease the island effect, among other things. Organic and inorganic contaminants in soil may be less bioavailable and efficient if biochar is used. The type of feedstock and pyrolysis condition have an impact on the quantity and quality of biochar.

Before using biochar on soils, it's important to understand how the capacity of biochar to immobilize pollutants will change over time as their sorption sites fill up with native soil organic matter and competing contaminants. However, some aspects of biochar technology remain undeveloped. The exploration and exploitation of bio-resources, as well as the use of biotechnology to produce novel bio-products with economic value, are all part of the bio-economy. Biochar is a marketable bio-product that can be used in agriculture, industry, and the energy sector (profitable in bio-oil and biogas production). (39)

Improve the available kinetics models in both field and laboratory environments to better predict nutrient dynamics in biochar-amended soils. It's crucial to understand the factors that influence soil nutrient availability and fertility for nutrient dynamics (Saxena et al., 2014). For various pollutants, it is critical to identify several/major activation pathways, as well as adsorption and desorption mechanisms. Microbial communities and their distribution in biochar-amended soil are poorly understood, particularly in relation to biochar attributes (such as ion exchange capacity, particle size, microporosity, nutrient content, and pH). With so much focus on soil pollution and infertility, biochar application could open up new possibilities for remediation and as a source of micro and macronutrients in nutrient-depleted soils.

REFERENCE:

- Gaunt, J.L.; Lehmann, J. Energy balance and emissions associated with biochar sequestration and pyrolysis bioenergy production. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2008, 42, 4152–4158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- [2]. Lehmann, J.; Joseph, S. Biochar for Environmental Management: An Introduction, 1st ed.; Lehmann, J., Joseph,



S., Eds.; Routledge: Abington, UK, 2009; ISBN 9781844076581.

- [3]. Verheijen, F.; Jeffery, S.; Bastos, A.C.; Van Der Velde, M.; Diafas, I. Biochar Application to Soils: A Critical Review of Effects on Soil Properties, Processes and Functions; European Commission: Brussel, Belgium, 2010; ISBN 9789279142932.
- [4]. Kauffman, N.; Dumortier, J.; Hayes, D.J.; Brown, R.C.; Laird, D.A. Producing energy while sequestering carbon? The relationship between biochar and agricultural productivity. Biomass Bioenergy 2014, 63, 167–176.
- [5]. Houben, D.; Evrard, L.; Sonnet, P. Beneficial effects of biochar application to contaminated soils on the bioavailability of Cd, Pb and Zn and the biomass production of rapeseed (Brassica napus L.). Biomass Bioenergy 2013, 57, 196–204. [CrossRef]
- [6]. Hilioti, Z.; Michailof, C.M.; Valasiadis, D.; Iliopoulou, E.F.; Koidou, V.; Lappas, A.A. Characterization of castor plant-derived biochars and their effects as soil amendments on seedlings. Biomass Bioenergy 2017, 105, 96–106. [CrossRef]
- [7]. Mohammadi, A.; Cowie, A.L.; Kristiansen, P.; Cacho, O.; Lan, T.; Mai, A. Biochar can improve the sustainable use of rice residues in rice production systems. In Proceedings of the EGU General Assembly Conference, Vienna, Austria, 8–13 April 2018; Volume 20, p. 2652.
- [8]. Lehmann, J.; Joseph, S. (Eds.) Biochar for Environmental Management: Science, Technology and Implementation, 2nd ed.; Routledge: Abington, UK, 2015.
- [9]. Sahoo, K.; Bilek, E.; Bergman, R.; Mani, S. Techno-economic analysis of producing solid biofuels and biochar from forest residues using portable systems. Appl. Energy 2019, 235, 578–590. [CrossRef]
- [10]. Jaroenkhasemmeesuk, C.; Tippayawong, N. Technical and Economic Analysis of A Biomass Pyrolysis Plant. Energy Procedia 2015, 79, 950–955. [CrossRef]
- [11]. Brown, T.R.; Wright, M.M.; Brown, R.C. Estimating profitability of two biochar production scenarios: slow pyrolysis vs fast pyrolysis. Biofuels Bioprod. Biorefining 2011, 5, 54–68. [CrossRef]
- [12]. Kuppens, T.; Van Dael, M.; Vanreppelen, K.; Thewys, T.; Yperman, J.; Carleer, R.; Schreurs, S.; Van Passel, S. Technoeconomic assessment of fast pyrolysis for the valorization of short rotation coppice

cultivated for phytoextraction. J. Clean. Prod. 2015, 88, 336–344. [CrossRef]

- [13]. Homagain, K.; Shahi, C.; Luckai, N.; Sharma, M. Life cycle cost and economic assessment of biochar-based bioenergy production and biochar land application in Northwestern Ontario, Canada. Ecosystems 2016, 3, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- [14]. Huang, Y.; Anderson, M.; McIlveen-Wright, D.; Lyons, G.A.; McRoberts, W.C.; Wang, Y.D.; Roskilly, A.P.; Hewitt, N.J. Biochar and renewable energy generation from poultry litter waste: A technical and economic analysis based on computational simulations. Appl. Energy 2015, 160, 656– 663. [CrossRef]
- [15]. Patel, M.; Zhang, X.; Kumar, A. Technoeconomic and life cycle assessment on lignocellulosic biomass thermochemical conversion technologies: A review. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 2016, 53, 1486–1499. [CrossRef]
- [16]. Roberts, K.G.; Gloy, B.A.; Joseph, S.; Scott, N.R.; Lehmann, J. Life Cycle Assessment of Biochar Systems: Estimating the Energetic, Economic, and Climate Change Potential. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2010, 44, 827–833. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- [17]. Hammond, J.; Shackley, S.; Sohi, S.; Brownsort, P. Prospective life cycle carbon abatement for pyrolysis biochar systems in the UK. Energy Policy 2011, 39, 2646– 2655. [CrossRef]
- [18]. 18. Ibarrola, R.; Shackley, S.; Hammond, J. Pyrolysis biochar systems for recovering biodegradable materials: A life cycle carbon assessment. Waste Manag. 2012, 32, 859– 868. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- [19]. Harsono, S.S.; Grundman, P.; Lau, L.H.; Hansen, A.; Salleh, M.A.M.; Meyer-Aurich, A.; Idris, A.; Ghazi, T.I.M. Energy balances, greenhouse gas emissions and economics of biochar production from palm oil empty fruit bunches. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 2013, 77, 108–115. [CrossRef]
- [20]. Homagain, K.; Shahi, C.; Luckai, N.; Sharma, M. Life cycle environmental impact assessment of biochar-based bioenergy production and utilization in Northwestern Ontario, Canada. J. For. Res. 2015, 26, 799– 809. [CrossRef]
- [21]. Muñoz, E.; Curaqueo, G.; Cea, M.; Vera, L.; Navia, R. Environmental hotspots in the life cycle of a biochar-soil system. J. Clean. Prod. 2017, 158, 1–7. [CrossRef]



- [22]. Brassard, P.; Godbout, S.; Pelletier, F.; Raghavan, V.; Palacios, J.H. Pyrolysis of switchgrass in an auger reactor for biochar production: A greenhouse gas and energy impacts assessment. Biomass Bioenergy 2018, 116, 99–105. [CrossRef]
- [23]. Frazier, R.S.; Jin, E.; Kumar, A. Life cycle assessment of biochar versus metal catalysts used in syngas cleaning. Energies 2015, 8, 621–644. [CrossRef]
- [24]. Huppes, G.; Van Oers, L. Background Review of Existing Weighting Approaches in Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA); European Union: Luxembourg, 2011.
- [25]. Weidema, B.; Brandão, M.; Pizzol, M. The Use of Monetary Valuation of Environmental Impacts in Life Cycle Assessment: State of the Art, Strengths and Weaknesses; 2.-0 LCA Consultant: Aalborg, Denmark, 2013; Volume 33.
- [26]. Itsubo, N.; Sakagami, M.; Kuriyama, K.; Inaba, A. Statistical analysis for the development of national average weighting factors-visualization of the variability between each individual's environmental thought. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 2012, 17, 488–498. [CrossRef]
- [27]. Wu, X.; Zhang, Z.; Chen, Y. Study of the environmental impacts based on the "green tax"—Applied to several types of building materials. Build. Environ. 2005, 40, 227– 237. [CrossRef]
- [28]. van Harmelen, T.; van Korenromp, R.; Deutekom, C.; Ligthart, T.N.; van Leeuwen, S.; van Gijlswijk, R. The Price of Toxicity. Methodology for the Assessment of Shadow Prices for Human Toxicity, Ecotoxicity and Abiotic Depletion; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2006.
- [29]. Johnsen, F.M.; Løkke, S. Review of criteria for evaluating LCA weighting methods. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 2013, 18, 840–849. [CrossRef]
- [30]. Finnveden, G. A Critical Review of Operational Valuation/Weighting Methods for Life Cycle Assessment; Swedish Environmental Protection Agency: Stockholm, Swedish, 1999.
- [31]. International Organization for Standardization. Environmental Management-Life Cycle Assessment-Principles and Framework; ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 1947.
- [32]. Kuppens, T.; Maggen, J.; Carleer, R.; Yperman, J.; Van Dael, M.; Van Passel, S. Valorisatie van Varkensmest Door

Pyrolyse—Cleantech Business Case in Limburg; University of Hasselt: Hasselt, Belgium, 2014.

- [33]. Kuppens, T.; Rafiaani, P.; Vanreppelen, K.; Yperman, J.; Carleer, R.; Schreurs, S.; Thewys, T.; Van Passel, S. Combining Monte Carlo simulations and experimental design for incorporating risk and uncertainty in investment decisions for cleantech: a fast pyrolysis case study. Clean Technol. Environ. Policy 2018, 20, 1195–1206. [CrossRef]
- [34]. IPCC. Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories; IPCC: Geneva, Switzerland, 2006.
- [35]. Abbruzzini et al., 2017Abbruzzini, T.F., Moreira, M.Z., De Camargo, P.B., Conz, R.F., Cerri, C.E.P., 2017. Increasing rates of biochar application to soil induce stronger negative priming effect on soil organic carbon decomposition. Agric. Res. 6, 389– 398.
- [36]. Abney and Berhe, 2018 R.B. Abney, A.A. Berhe , Pyrogenic carbon erosion: implications for stock and persistence of pyrogenic carbon in soil , Front. Earth Sci., 6 (2018), pp. 1-16
- [37]. Ahmed et al., 2016, A.A. Ahmed, P.Q. Fawaz, A.W. Eupkouk , Biochar amended soils and crop productivity improvement , Environ. Sci. Technol., 42 (2016), pp. 5137-5143
- [38]. Al-Wabel et al., 2013 ,M.I. Al-Wabel, A. Al Omran, A.H. Naggar, M. Nadeem, A.R.A. U sman Pyrolysis temperature induced changes in characteristics and chemical composition of biochar produced from cono-carpus wastes Bioresour. Technol., 131 (2013), pp. 374-379
- [39]. Ameloot et al., 2013 , N. Ameloot, E.R. Graber, F.G.A. Verheijen, S. De Neve Interactions between biochar stability and soil organisms: review and research needs ,Eur. J. Soil Sci., 64 (2013), pp. 379-390